

Research & Scholarship Committee
Meeting Minutes 12/12/12

1. Invitees/Attendees							
Name		Name		Name		Name	
Jessina McGregor	*	Alexandra Sikora	#			Mark Leid	*
Lorinda Anderson	*	Fred Stevens	@			Chiron Alston	@
Jane Ismael	*	Craig Williams	*				
Gaurav Sahay	*						
*= attendance, @ = excused absence, # = absent							

Jessina McGregor called the meeting to order at 12:04pm

Agenda Item	Discussion	Decision/Follow-up
Meeting minutes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina mentioned that with Elena being gone it would be helpful if minutes could be kept by a committee member on a rotating basis 	Craig agreed to draft minutes for this meeting
Student Abstracts for meeting presentation at ASHP Midyear – <i>McGregor</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina reported 27 abstracts were submitted for review for planned presentation at ASHP Midyear meeting in December, 2019 Of those 27 abstracts, 8 did not have IRB submissions and of those 5 were determined to potentially be human research Craig had briefly reviewed those 5 and determined they likely would be eligible for QI/QA designation if a request for determination was submitted to a governing IRB Jessina said that JJ Furuno had also reviewed those 5 abstracts and felt they were research Committee discussion focused on educating preceptors regarding need for IRB submission and multiple members expressed that we do a good job now discussing this need with students Jessina mentioned a concern regarding having an OSU faculty or personnel as a designated investigator on these projects Mark mentioned an upcoming meeting with Lisa Leventhal to discuss similar topics and the fact that the possibility had been mentioned of Juancho serving as the OSU designate for these projects in the future 	Jessina will discuss with Juancho Ramirez the need to reach out to a very small number of preceptors and let them know that they need to obtain a Determination of Human Research from their research governing body

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina raised concerns regarding the responsibilities involved with being a research designee and wondered if it really made sense for Juancho to try and serve in that capacity. Mark and Jane expressed that they felt there could a work around for this problem and that more would be known after a meeting with Lisa L. on Nov. 22nd. 	
Committee Role to further research at college – <i>McGregor</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina asked about idea that members may have whereby the committee could help to further research within the college Craig asked about the potential for a budget for this committee. Mark said that more may be known down the road but not currently any dollars available at the discretion of this committee Mark Leid said that grant submissions already average 2-3 per faculty member and that increases submissions numbers may reasonably require more faculty Jessina asked about current initiatives and barriers and Gaurav said that the college’s effort towards contracting with grant reviewers was personally helpful to him Mark said that currently 4 editors and 2 writers are available to help with grant preparation. He felt that Gaurav’s success with one grant writer was not necessarily replicated across other faculty thus far. 	Ongoing discussion and will remain on future committee agenda
Intra-college research awards – <i>McGregor</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina raised the possibility of the committee spearheading an effort to create intra-departmental or intra-college research awards Mark said that when a similar idea was raised 3-4 years ago that there was internal opposition multiple members agreed that some objective criteria would need to be included to avoid any appearances or perceptions of the awards being any type of popularity award Craig asked about awards at the Rising lectures and multiple committee members clarified that those are for post-doc students and do not involve naming or recognizing faculty per se. 	<p>Jessina will speak with past administration to help determine what any objections might be.</p> <p>Gaurav and Lorinda agreed to work on some very early language regarding awards criteria</p>
Lecture/ Lunch-and-learn for faculty regarding research/scholarship topics – <i>McGregor</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina expressed interest in the Research Committee having an assigned block of time at the annual all school meeting to lead a presentation/discussion related to research and scholarship success. As a first proposed topic Jessina mentioned “successfully taking a sabbatical.” Mark expressed that was a good idea and Gaurav thought that Mark and Jane would be good first speakers if we could get a spot on the agenda for next all school meeting 	<p>Jessina to follow-up regarding possibility of having a spot on the agenda of next all school meeting</p> <p>Mark and Jane to potentially present on “taking a successful sabbatical.”</p>
OSU Health Sciences Task Force – <i>Leid</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mark gave an update to the committee regarding a Provost initiative aimed at aligning health science research across the University. Other committee members inquired as to how broad the initiative was and Mark clarified that it is across a broad range of colleges and units which currently includes Liberal Arts, the Cascade campus and Engineering in addition to the traditional health sciences units of pharmacy and Vet Med. Ultimate goal could be to have a Center on OSU campus which helps with cross-unit collaboration for grant submission Mark said that Dan Hartung is involved with the same effort and should have further updates on the Portland campus in near future 	Jessina will schedule for future updates as warranted
MS X-cel research file review – <i>McGregor</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Jessina discussed that it would be helpful for committee members to carefully review the Excel spreadsheets (distributed to committee) which are compiled by Chiron to show who has research support and from which funding agencies. 	All committee members to review future Excel research spreadsheets for discussions

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Committee members agreed this could be a good way to find opportunities for faculty to help colleagues depending on where funding is being sought and who has or has had previous funding from that same organization• Examples discussed where help funding submissions might be useful included DoD and VA	regarding faculty collaboration and mentoring for funding
--	---	---

The meeting was adjourned at 1:03pm