

10/30/18

Members present: Jessina McGregor, Mark Leid, Aleksandra Sikora, Gaurav Sahay, Craig Williams, Fred Stevens (phone); Angie Mettie (staff support).

Research committee meeting

1. Documents attached to the meeting appointment:
 - a. McGregor asked if there were any changes to the committee's charge.
 - b. McGregor: Chiron Alston should be an ex-officio member of the committee. General agreement.
 - c. Stevens: BJ Philmus interested in taking on the Work in Progress meetings for the Corvallis location; this would be in conjunction with PharmSci seminar series. Leid said that we don't need to invite BJ to be a committee member, but to include him in what's going on.
 - d. Discussion regarding inviting all faculty to all WiP sessions. Try to get more cross departmental participation.
 - i. Track attendance at the WIPS.
 - e. Send any other comments to Jessina
2. Human subject research document
 - a. The document has been distributed to the students. Document was approved by Lisa Leventhal at OSU IRB board. Lisa expressed that she could come to Portland to talk to students about IRB requirements.
 - b. Tanya solicited a survey of students who were planning to present a poster at ASHP, which found some students who were not compliant with the policy regarding research and human subjects.
 - i. Stevens thought that Juancho should be providing guidance to the students, not this committee.
 - ii. McGregor to go over their abstracts to determine if abstracts need to comply with IRB approval.
 - iii. Problem was that faculty were not listed as PI's or mentors on the abstracts. One didn't have faculty or preceptor involvement. Many of the preceptors don't have experience as a PI and cannot mentor the students. Document needs to be distributed to the preceptors as well.
3. Strategic plan research components
 - a. Distributed the strategic plan document and mentioned items that were involving research. What is the best plan to brainstorm about what may need to be added or removed from the SP document? Some items could be outdated or need revising.
 - b. Could use Box.com to have suggestions for revision to the document.
 - c. Stevens suggested using Cayuse to get information on grant funding. Leid said that Cayuse isn't good for getting this information, only in how many proposals are submitted. The information on funding comes from the research office, which Leid can get for the group.
 - i. Leid suggests the committee think about the big picture view as to what we need to do in the next 5 years. What do we want to become in the next few years? This will guide investment and faculty investment in the next 5 to 10 years.
 - ii. Williams: we need time to digest the document in order to come up with good ideas for where we want to go with the strategic plan.

- iii. McGregor will open up a Box.com document for members to include their thoughts and suggestions for Strategic Planning.
 - iv. Sahay: how do we advocate for resources?
 - 1. McGregor: Discussion is needed about how to go about this, but that discussion is down the road a bit.
 - v. Morgun: Need outside input (external review) on what might be the best path for the college, and how to make this happen. Or possibly an advisory board. Leid said we used to have an advisory board 2 deans ago. It was mostly concerned with fund raising. Discussion ensued.
 - 1. Identify needs
4. Research video
- a. Leid explained about the email he received regarding producing a short video regarding our research program. Wondered if it would be useful, which it could be in terms of hiring new faculty, etc. and enhanced recruiting for the college. The company involved offered to produce a video such as this for a rock bottom rate of \$15K.
 - i. Discussion. General consensus is that it is a good idea.
5. Requests for future discussion
- a. Talk about research: grants, bridge funding, etc.
 - b. Sikora: Suggested rewarding faculty for successful research, or some kind of acknowledgement/award for researchers.
 - c. Publicity, faculty awards...etc.

agenda

Research Committee Meeting

RLSB 5S085/Pharmacy 213

Call In Line: 1 (800) 434-5932 US Toll Free

Passcode: 8873 961 #

Confidential moderator code: 5334 345 #

December 19, 2018

10:00am

Attendees: Leid (ex officio), McGregor (Chair), Mettie (admin support), Sahay, Williams, Alston

Attachments: Agenda, Draft strategic plan items

Time	Agenda Item	Speaker
10:00	Review and Discuss: Committee recommendations for strategic plan	Open Discussion
10:55	Open Discussion: Future Agenda Items	Open Discussion

Additional Information:

Link to Google doc for strategic plan items pertaining to research:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1uDkj0XWNU26BaKjBC6a2ft4nKRbMwWNa2WJY5sYYfca/edit?usp=sharing>

1. Discussion regarding the strategic plan. Jessina to combine suggestions and make changes to the Google doc and distribute to the rest of the committee.
2. Future agenda items
 - a. Discussed setting a definitive time/day for future meetings. Perhaps have them right after the PharmSci department meetings on Tuesdays, quarterly.
 - i. PharmSci department meetings are the 2nd Tuesday of the month from 1 to 2:30 pm.

COP Research Committee End of AY18-19 Email Discussion.

In lieu of a standard meeting, the final AY18-19 Research & Scholarship Committee meeting was an email conversation. Summary below.

[7/12/19]:

Action Items

- Research Awards
 - At an earlier meeting the idea was mentioned to start research awards much like we have teaching awards. This is a great thought and I'd like everyone to submit some ideas to me via email on what they think that should look like. Feel free to discuss with colleagues outside of the committee as well.
 - Consider whether you want award(s) in the traditional sense, or "awards" that are more like the badges, etc. you earn in a game for accomplishing a certain task. The latter would be something where we could have multiple awardees. These could be awards for a high frequency of grant submissions; holding funding from multiple agencies, etc. This strategy would allow for recognition of more folks' effort.
 - The OHSU-PSU School of Public Health started a researcher of the year award. This is a high level, one person per year award. The awardee is spotlighted in their communications/external marketing.
 - Consider how you want the award to be given. Vote by faculty? Nominated then application process that is reviewed and determined by committee? Exec council/leadership appointment?
 - Other ideas for Research committee to tackle
 - If you have any ideas of what you'd like research committee to take on, please send me those emails as well. This can be ideas of problems/or areas that need to be addressed, specific programs to implement, etc.
 - One idea: I'd like to organize a talk on sabbaticals at one of our all college meetings. I'd like to have faculty who have recently done this talk about their experiences and have some discussion on logistics.
 - Another idea: Create repository of boilerplate text to be used for grant writing (facilities descriptions etc.). (perhaps pharm sci already has this?)
 - Fred—committee involvement in disbursement of funds for seed/bridge/equipment funds.
 - Fred—supporting multi-investigator/program grant submissions

Items coming to research committee (maybe):

- Research brochures
 - Each department is in various stages of updating the research brochure. Our committee has been asked to review content before publishing/printing.

Recap of activities initiated this year:

- Contribution of items to strategic plan (done!)
- PAR block lecture to P3 students

- On behalf of the research committee, I provided a lecture to students regarding regulatory compliance for human subjects research. The students reviewed the guidance documented that Fred spear-headed the creation of, and we went through example scenarios and discussed when IRB oversight was needed.
- OSU Research Council
 - Andriy is serving as the COP representative on the Research Council. The council helps to review funding applications for FOAs put out by the research office. At a future research committee, Andriy can provide more details.
- External dissemination of research accomplishments
 - I've started discussions with Paige and Steve Lundberg (press office). I'd like to come up with a strategy/plan to more proactively disseminate the press releases that OSU puts out on our research via our COP social media platforms. These discussions are in *very* early stages.